

المراجع

- Adelson, J. L., McCoach, D. B., & Gavin, M. K. (2012). Examining the effects of gifted programming in math and reading using the ECLS-K. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 56, 25–39.
- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education. (1999). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, DC: Authors.
- Anastasi, A., & Urbina, S. (1997). *Psychological testing* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Anderson, J. (2013, February 18). Schools ask: Gifted or just well-prepared? *The New York Times*, A12. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2013/02/18/nyregion/new-york-city-schools-struggle-to-separate-the-gifted-from-the-just-well-prepared.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York, NY: Longman.
- Assouline, S. G., Colangelo, N., Lupkowski-Shoplik, A., Lipscomb, J., & Forstadt, L. (2009). *Iowa Acceleration Scale: A guide for whole-grade acceleration K–8* (3rd ed.). Tucson, AZ: Great Potential Press.
- Baldwin, A. (1984). *The Baldwin Identification Matrix 2 for identification of gifted and talented*. Unionville, NY: Trillium Press
- Borland, J. (2005). Gifted education without gifted children. In R. J. Sternberg & J. E. Davidson (Eds.), *Conceptions of giftedness* (pp. 1–19). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Bracken, B. A., & McCallum, R. S. (1998). *The Universal Nonverbal Ability Test*. Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
- Briggs, C. J., Reis, S. M., & Sullivan, E. E. (2008). A national view of promising programs and practices for culturally, linguistically, and ethnically diverse gifted and talented students. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 52, 131–145.
- Brown, L., Sherbenou, R. J., & Johnson, S. K. (2010). *Test of Nonverbal Intelligence* (4th ed.). Austin, TX: PRO-ED.

- Brulles,D.,Peters,S. J.,& Saunders,R. (2012). Schoolwide mathematics achievement within the gifted cluster grouping model. *Journal of Advanced Academics*,23,200–216. doi:10.1177/193202X12451439
- Brulles,D.,Saunders,R.,& Cohn,S. J. (2010). Improving performance for gifted students in a cluster grouping model. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*,34,327–350.
- Bui,S. A.,Craig,S. A.,& Imberman,S. A. (2011). *Is gifted education a bright idea? Assessing the impact of gifted and talented programming on achievement* (National Bureau of Economic Research Working paper 17089). Washington,DC: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Callahan,C. M.,Hertberg–Davis,H.,Izzo,R. T.,Azano,A. P.,Germundson,A. J.,Meyer,K. E. . . . Matthews,M. S. (2013). *The AP challenge program*. Charlottesville: The University of Virginia.
- Camilli,G. (2006). Test fairness. In R. L. Brennan (Ed.),*Educational measurement* (4th ed.,pp. 221–256). Westport,CT: American Council on Education/Praeger.
- Carnoy,M.,& Rothstein,R. (2013). *What do international tests show about U.S. student performance?* Washington,DC: Economic Policy Institute.
- Castellano,J. A.,& Frazier,A. D. (Eds.). (2010). *Special populations in gifted education: Understanding our most able students from diverse backgrounds*. Waco,TX: Prufrock Press.
- Colangelo,N.,Assouline,S. G.,& Gross,M. U. M. (Eds.). (2004). *A nation deceived: How schools hold back America's brightest students*. Iowa City: University of Iowa,The Connie Belin and Jaqueline N. Blank International Center for Gifted Education and Talent Development.
- Colangelo,N.,Assouline,S. G.,Marron,M. A.,Castellano,J. A.,Clinkenbeard,P. R.,Rogers,K.,. . . Smith,D. (2010). Guidelines for developing an academic acceleration policy. *Journal of Advanced Academics*,21,180–203.
- Cooper,H.,Nye,B.,Charlton,K.,Lindsay,J.,& Greathouse,S. (1996). The effects of summer vacation on achievement test scores: A narrative and meta-analytic review. *Review of Educational Research*,66,227–268.
- Davis,G. A.,Rimm,S. B.,& Siegle,D. (2011). *Education of the gifted and talented* (6th ed.). Boston,MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Delisle,J. R. (1992). *Guiding the social and emotional development of gifted youth*. New York,NY: Longman.
- Feldhusen,J. F.,Asher,J. W.,& Hoover,S. M. (1984). Problems in the identification of giftedness,talent,or ability. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,28,149–151. doi:10.1177/001698628402800402
- Feldhusen,J.,Proctor,T. B.,& Black,K. N. (1986). Guidelines for grade advancement of precocious children. *Roeper Review*,9,9–10.

- Firmender, J. M., Reis, S. M., & Sweeny, S. M. (2012). Reading comprehension and fluency levels ranges across diverse classrooms: The need for differentiated reading instruction and content. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 57, 3–14. doi:10.1177/0016986212460084
- Gavin, M. K., Casa, T. M., Adelson J. L., Carroll, S. R., Sheffield, L. J., & Spinelli, A. M. (2007). Project M3: Mentoring Mathematical Minds: Challenging curriculum for talented elementary students. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 18, 566–585.
- Gentry, M. (2006). No Child Left Behind: Neglecting excellence. *Roeper Review*, 29, 24–27.
- Gentry, M., & MacDougall, J. (2009). Total school cluster grouping: Model, research, and practice. In J. S. Renzulli, E. J. Gubbins, S. K. McMillen, R. D. Eckert, & C. A. Little (Eds.), *Systems and models for developing programs for the gifted and talented* (2nd ed., pp. 211–234). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.
- Gentry, M., & Mann, R. L. (2009). *Total school cluster grouping and differentiation: A comprehensive, research-based plan for raising student achievement and improving teacher practices*. Waco, TX.
- Gentry, M., & Owen, S. V. (1999). An investigation of the effects of total school flexible cluster grouping on identification, achievement, and classroom practices. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 43, 224–243. doi:10.1177/001698629904300402
- Georgia Department of Education. (2012). *Georgia resource manual for gifted education services*. Retrieved from <http://www.doe.k12.ga.us/Curriculum-Instruction-and-Assessment/Curriculum-and-Instruction/Pages/Gifted-Education.aspx>
- Griggs v. Duke Power, 401 U.S. 424 (1971).
- Hansen, J. B., & Feldhusen, J. F. (1994). Comparison of trained and untrained teachers of gifted students. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 38, 115–121. doi:10.1177/001698629403800304
- Horowitz, F. D., Subotnik, R. F., & Matthews, D. J. (Eds.). (2009). *The development of giftedness and talent across the life span*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Illinois State Board of Education. (2009). *Illinois Standards Achievement Test technical manual*. Retrieved from http://www.isbe.net/assessment/pdfs/isat_tech_2010.pdf
- Institute for Research on Acceleration Policy, National Association for Gifted Children, & Council of State Directors of Programs for the Gifted. (2009). *Guidelines for developing an acceleration policy*. Iowa City: University of Iowa, Institute for Research on Acceleration Policy.
- Jairrels, J. (2009). *African Americans and standardized tests: The real reason for low test scores*. Sauk Village, IL: African American Images.

- Joint Committee on Testing Practices. (2004). *Code of fair testing practices in education*. Washington,DC: American Psychological Association.
- Krisel,S.,& Cowan,R. (1997,December). Georgia's journey toward multiple-criteria identification of gifted students. *Roeper Review*,20(Gifted Education Supplement),A1-A3.
- Lee,S.-Y.,Matthews,M. S.,& Olszewski-Kubilius,P. (2008). A national picture of talent search and talent search educational programs. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,52,55-69. doi:10.1177/001698620731115
- Lee,S.-Y.,Olszewski-Kubilius,P.,& Peternel,G. (2010). The efficacy of academic acceleration for gifted minority students. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,54,189-208. doi:10.1177/0016986210369256
- Lee,S.-Y.,Olszewski-Kubilius,P.,& Thomson,D. T. (2012). Academically gifted students' perceived interpersonal competence and peer relationships. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,56,90-104. doi:10.1177/0016986212442568
- Lohman,D. F. (2005a). An aptitude perspective on talent: Implications for identification of academically gifted minority students. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*,28,333-360.
- Lohman,D. F. (2005b). Review of Naglieri and Ford (2003): Does the Naglieri Nonverbal Ability Test identify equal proportions of high-scoring White,Black,and Hispanic students? *Gifted Child Quarterly*,49,19-26. doi:10.1177/001698620504900103
- Lohman,D. F. (2005c). The role of nonverbal ability tests in identifying academically gifted students: An aptitude perspective. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,49,111-138. doi:10.1177/001698620504900203
- Lohman,D. F. (2006). *Identifying academically talented minority students* (RM05216). Storrs: University of Connecticut,The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.
- Lohman,D. (2009). Identifying academically talented students: Some general principles,two specific procedures. In L. V. Shavinina (Ed.),*International handbook on giftedness* (pp. 971-997). Heidelberg,Germany: Springer.
- Lohman,D. F.,& Hagen,E. P. (2001). *Cognitive Abilities Test-Form 6*. Itasca,IL: Riverside.
- Lohman,D. F.,& Korb,K. (2006). Gifted today but not tomorrow? Longitudinal changes in ITBS and CogAT scores during elementary school. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*,29,451-484.
- Lohman,D. F.,Korb,K. A.,& Lakin,J. M. (2008). Identifying academically gifted English-language learners using nonverbal tests: A comparison of the Raven,NNAT,and CogAT. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,52,275-296.
- Lohman,D. F.,& Lakin,J. (2008). Nonverbal test scores as one component of an identification system: Integrating ability,achievement,and teacher ratings.

- In J. L. Van Tassel–Baska (Ed.), *Alternative assessments with gifted and talented students* (pp. 41–66). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.
- Matthews, M. S. (2006). Benefits and drawbacks of state–level assessments for gifted students: NCLB and standardized testing. *Duke Gifted Letter*, 7(1) [electronic version]. Retrieved from <http://www.tip.duke.edu/node/827>
- Matthews, M. S. (2007). Talent search programs. In C. M. Callahan & J. A. Plucker (Eds.), *Critical issues and practices in gifted education* (pp. 641–653). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.
- Matthews, M. S., & Kirsch, L. (2011). Evaluating gifted identification practice: Aptitude testing and linguistically diverse learners. *Journal of Applied School Psychology*, 27, 155–180. doi:10.1080/15377903.2011.565281
- McBee, M. (2006). A descriptive analysis of referral sources by race and socioeconomic status. *Journal of Secondary Gifted Education*, 17, 103–111.
- McBee, M. (2010a). Examining the probability of identification for gifted programs for students in Georgia elementary schools: A multilevel path analysis study. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 54, 283–297.
- McBee, M. (2010b). Modeling outcomes with floor or ceiling effects: An introduction to the Tobit model. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 54, 314–320. doi:10.1177/001698621037909
- McBee, M. T., McCoach, D. B., Peters, S. J., & Matthews, M. S. (2012). The case for a schism: Commentary on Subotnik, Olszewski–Kubilius, and Worrell (2011). *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 56, 210–214.
- McBee, M. T., Peters, S. J., & Waterman, C. (in press). Combining scores in multiple–criteria assessment systems: The impact of combination rule. *Gifted Child Quarterly*.
- McCall, R. B., Appelbaum, M. I., & Hogarty, P. S. (1973). Developmental changes in mental performance. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 38(3, Serial No. 150), 1–84. doi:10.2307/1165768
- Naglieri, J. A. (2003). *Naglieri Nonverbal Ability Test* (2nd ed.). San Antonio, TX: Pearson.
- Naglieri, J. A., & Ford, D. Y. (2003). Addressing underrepresentation of gifted minority children using the Naglieri Nonverbal Ability Test (NNAT). *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 47, 155–160.
- Naglieri, J. A., & Ford, D. Y. (2005). Increasing minority children’s participation in gifted classes using the NNAT: A response to Lohman. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 49, 29–36. doi:10.1177/001698620504900104
- National Association for Gifted Children. (2008). *The role of assessment in the identification of gifted students*. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.nagc.org/index.aspx?id=4022>

- National Association for Gifted Children. (2010a). *NAGC pre–K–grade 12 gifted education programming standards: A blueprint for quality gifted education programs*. Washington,DC: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.nagc.org/ProgrammingStandards.aspx>
- National Association for Gifted Children. (2010b). *Redefining giftedness for a new century: Shifting the paradigm*. Washington,DC: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.nagc.org/index2.aspx?id=6404>
- National Association for Gifted Children,& Council of State Directors of Programs for the Gifted. (2011). *State of the states in gifted education: 2010–2011*. Washington,DC: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.nagc.org/stateofthestatesreport.aspx>
- National Center for Educational Statistics. (2011). *The nation's report card: Science 2009* (NCES 2011–451). Washington,DC: Institute for Educational Sciences,U.S. Department of Education.
- Northwest Evaluation Association. (2011a). *2011 normative data*. Portland,OR: Author. Retrieved from <http://decatur.schoolfusion.us/modules/groups/homepagefiles/cms/138407/File/Technology%20Documents/NWEA%20documents/2011norm%20rits.pdf?sessionid=840d41c4f13563e0fd3bd1e299dac71>
- Northwest Evaluation Association. (2011b). *RIT scale norms: For use with Measures of Academic Progress (MAP®) and MAP® for primary grades*. Portland,OR: Author.
- Ohio Department of Education. (2013). *Kindergarten*. Retrieved from <http://education.ohio.gov/GD/Templates/Pages/ODE/ODEDetail.aspx?page=3&TopicRelationID=778&ContentID=2167&Content=124271>
- Palmer, J. G. (2009, Fall). Mentorships for gifted high school students. *Teaching for High Potential*, 14–15.
- Park, G., Lubinski, D., & Benbow, C. P. (2012). When less is more: Effects of grade skipping on adult STEM productivity among mathematically precocious adolescents. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1037/a0029481
- Pearson Education. (2003). *Otis–Lennon School Ability Test: Technical manual* (8th ed.). San Antonio, TX: Pearson.
- Pereles, D. A., Omdal, S., & Baldwin, L. (2009). Response to Intervention and twice–exceptional learners: A promising fit. *Gifted Child Today*, 32(3), 40–51.
- Peters, S. J. (2012). The importance of multi–group validity evidence in the identification of exceptionalities. *Gifted and Talented International*, 26(1), 99–104.
- Peters, S. J., & Gentry, M. (2010). Multigroup construct validity evidence of the HOPE Scale: Instrumentation to identify low–income elemen–

- tary students for gifted programs. *Gifted Child Quarterly*,54,298–313. doi:10.1177/0016986210378332
- Peters,S. J.,& Gentry,M. (2012). Group–specific norms and teacher rating scales: Implications for underrepresentation. *Journal of Advanced Academics*,23,125–144.
- Peters,S. J.,& Mann,R. L. (2009). Getting ahead: Current secondary and post–secondary acceleration options for high ability students in Indiana. *Journal of Advanced Academics*,20,630–657.
- Peters,S.,& McBee,M. (2012,November). *The potential pitfalls and possibilities of involving teachers in gifted education identification*. Presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Gifted Children,Denver,CO.
- Peterson,J. S. (1999). Gifted—through whose cultural lens? An application of the postpositivistic mode of inquiry. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*,22,354–383.
- Pfeiffer,S.,& McClain,M.–C. (2012). Identification of gifted students in the United States today: A look at state definitions,policies,and practices. *Journal of Applied School Psychology*,28,59–88. doi:10.1080/15377903.2012.643757
- Plucker,J. A.,Burroughs,N.,& Song,R. (2010). *Mind the (other) gap! The growing excellence gap in K–12 education*. Bloomington,IN: Center for Evaluation and Education Policy.
- Reis,S. M.,Burns,D. E.,& Renzulli,J. S. (1991). *Curriculum compacting: The complete guide to modifying the regular curriculum for high ability students*. Waco,TX: Prufrock Press.
- Reis,S. M.,Gentry,M.,& Maxfield,L. R. (1998). The application of enrichment clusters to teachers' classroom practices. *Journal for Education of the Gifted*,21,310–324.
- Renzulli,J. (2005). The three–ring conception of giftedness: A developmental model for promoting creative productivity. In R. J. Sternberg & J. E. Davidson (Eds.),*Conceptions of giftedness* (pp. 246–279). Cambridge,UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Rollins,K.,Mursky,C. V.,Shah–Coltrane,S.,& Johnsen,S. K. (2009). RtI models for gifted students. *Gifted Child Today*,32(3),21–30.
- Rudner,L. M. (1994). Questions to ask when evaluating tests. *Practical Assessment,Research & Evaluation*,4(2). Retrieved from [http:// PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=4&n=2](http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=4&n=2)
- Sander,R. H.,& Taylor,S.,Jr. (2012). *Mismatch: How affirmative action hurts students it's intended to help,and why universities won't admit it*. New York,NY: Basic Books.

- Shaunessy, E., & Matthews, M. S. (2008). *Accounting for gifted education: Making a case for reporting and transparency* [Policy brief]. Tampa: University of South Florida, David C. Anchin Center.
- Southern, W. T., & Jones, E. (2004). *Acceleration in Ohio: A summary of findings from a statewide study of district policies and practices*. Retrieved from <http://www.ode.state.oh.us/GD/Templates/Pages/ODE/ODEDetail.aspx?page=3&TopicRelationID=964&ContentID=6163&Content=41228>
- Stanley, J. C. (1978). SMPY's DT-PI model: Diagnostic testing followed by prescriptive instruction. *Intellectually Talented Youth Bulletin*, 4(10), 7-8.
- Stanley, J. C. (1990). Leta Stetter Hollingworth's contributions to above-level testing of the gifted. *Roeper Review*, 13, 166-171.
- State of Maryland, Education Article §7-101, COMAR 13A.08.01.02. Retrieved from <http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=13a.08.01.02.htm>
- Steenbergen-Hu, S., & Moon, S. M. (2011). The effects of acceleration on high-ability learners: A meta-analysis. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 55, 39-53. doi:10.1177/0016986210383155
- Subotnik, R. F., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Worrell, F. C. (2011). Rethinking giftedness and gifted education: A proposed direction forward based on psychological science. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 12, 3-54.
- Terman, L. M. (1922). A new approach to the study of genius. *Psychological Review*, 29, 310-318.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2012). *Income, poverty, and health insurance coverage in the United States: 2009*. Current Population Reports, series P60-238, and Historical Tables—Tables 2 and 6. Retrieved from http://www.census.gov/compendia/statab/cats/income_expenditures_poverty_wealth/poverty.html
- U.S. Department of Education. (1993). *National excellence: The case for developing America's talent*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Valencia, R. R., & Suzuki, L. A. (2001). *Intelligence testing and minority students*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Vandenberg, R. J., & Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 3, 4-70.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Trans.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wisconsin Administrative Rule PI 8.01(2)(t)2, 2012.

- Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. (2011). *Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination: Fall 2010 WKCE technical report*. Retrieved from http://oea.dpi.wi.gov/oea_publications
- Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools. (n.d.). *Data analysis*. Retrieved from <http://data.dpi.state.wi.us/data>
- Worrell, F. (2009). Myth 4: A single test score or indicator tells us all we need to know about giftedness. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 53, 242–244.
- Wyner, J. S., Bridgeland, J. M., & DiIulio, J. J. (2009). *Achievement trap: How America is failing millions of high-achieving students from lower-income families* (Rev. ed.). Washington, DC: The Jack Kent Cooke Foundation.
- Xiang, Y., Dahlin, M., Cronin, J., Theaker, R., & Durant, S. (2011). *Do high flyers maintain their altitude? Performance trends of top students*. Washington, DC: Thomas B. Fordham Institute.
- Yoon, S., & Gentry, M. (2009). Racial and ethnic representation in gifted programs: Current status of and implications for gifted Asian American students. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 53, 121–136.

* * *