

Many thanks to the patients participating in this study for their patience, willingness to help making this study a reality.

## **LIST OF CONTENTS**

<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>LIST OF CONTENT</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF CHARTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. AIM OF THE WORK</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>III. PATIENTS AND METHODS</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>IV. RESULTS</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>V. DISCUSSION</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>VII. RECOMENDATIONS</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>VIII. REFERENCES</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>PROTOCOL</b>	

## ARABIC SUMMARY

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>page</b>
<b>(1)</b>	The superficial fat compartments of the face	<b>6</b>
<b>(2)</b>	The Ligaments and septae between fat compartments of the face	<b>7</b>
<b>(3)</b>	The retaining ligaments of the face.	<b>10</b>
<b>(4)</b>	The mimetic facial muscles.	<b>12</b>
<b>(5)</b>	The prezygomatic space	<b>17</b>
<b>(6)</b>	The buccal fat pad and its extensions	<b>18</b>
<b>(7)</b>	Attenuated ligaments in the midface	<b>19</b>
<b>(8)</b>	The branches of the facial nerve.	<b>21</b>
<b>(9)</b>	Dangerous zone 1	<b>26</b>
<b>(10)</b>	Dangerous zone 2	<b>27</b>
<b>(11)</b>	Dangerous zone 3	<b>29</b>
<b>(12)</b>	Dangerous zone 4	<b>30</b>
<b>(13)</b>	Dangerous zone 5	<b>31</b>
<b>(14)</b>	Dangerous zone 6	<b>32</b>
<b>(15)</b>	Dangerous zone 7	<b>33</b>
<b>(16)</b>	Arterial supply to the face	<b>35</b>
<b>(17)</b>	Skin excision only without undermining	<b>39</b>
<b>(18)</b>	Classic methods: extended incisions plus skin undermining	<b>40</b>

<b>(19)</b>	The subcutaneous musculoaponeurotic system rhytidectomy	<b>42</b>
<b>(20)</b>	Rhytidectomy techniques by Baker and Massiha	<b>46</b>
<b>(21)</b>	The subperiosteal facelift	<b>48</b>
<b>(22)</b>	Suspension techniques	<b>52</b>
<b>(23)</b>	Traditional facelift designs have an oblique vector of traction.	<b>53</b>
<b>(24)</b>	Simple MACS-lift. Position of the vertical, narrow purse-string suture	<b>54</b>
<b>(25)</b>	The extended MACS lift. Position of a third narrow pursestring suture.	<b>55</b>
<b>(26)</b>	Vertical skin redraping will not produce a dog-ear under the earlobe and will avoid the necessity for retroauricular dissection	<b>57</b>
<b>(27)</b>	Signs of facial aging	<b>38</b>
<b>(28)</b>	Vertical lifting of the skin above the angle of the mandible giving an idea of the anticipated improvement.	<b>64</b>
<b>(29)</b>	Vertical lifting of the skin above the angle of the mandible show excess in neck skin	<b>65</b>
<b>(30)</b>	Section of the face	<b>68</b>
<b>(31)</b>	Case 1; grade 2 laxity.	<b>74</b>
<b>(32)</b>	Case 2; grade 4 laxity.	<b>75</b>
<b>(33)</b>	Simple MACS-lift, extent of dissection and suspension sutures	<b>78</b>
<b>(34)</b>	Extended MACS-lift, extent of dissection and suspension sutures	<b>79</b>
<b>(35)</b>	Incision marking: Change of the original linear temporal incision into a zigzag one	<b>80</b>
<b>(36)</b>	According to Camirand, the incision in the temporal area is made perpendicularly to the hair shafts.	<b>81</b>
<b>(37)</b>	Sharp dissection of the first part of the flap till the end of parotid fascia	<b>84</b>
<b>(38)</b>	Blunt dissection of the skin flap.	<b>85</b>
<b>(39)</b>	Raised skin flap, shown the SMAS and platysma.	<b>86</b>
<b>(40)</b>	Safe areas for fixing the purse-string suspension sutures.	<b>87</b>
<b>(41)</b>	Window in the subcutaneous tissue showing the deep temporal fascia.	<b>88</b>
<b>(42)</b>	The U suture, the needle bite in the SMAS.	<b>89</b>
<b>(43)</b>	The strong lifting of the neck was clearly evident after tightening of the U suture.	<b>90</b>

<b>(44)</b>	The plication effect of the purse-string sutures.	<b>91</b>
<b>(45)</b>	The lifting of the lower face after tightening the O suture.	<b>92</b>
<b>(46)</b>	The third suspension suture position in relation to other sutures.	<b>93</b>
<b>(47)</b>	The suction drain in place.	<b>94</b>
<b>(48)</b>	Raising the flap in a vertical direction using two sharp double hocks.	<b>95</b>
<b>(49)</b>	Cutting the skin flap with scalpel.	<b>95</b>
<b>(50)</b>	Fixing the skin flap to position by a stay suture.	<b>96</b>
<b>(51)</b>	Marking of the part to be excised.	<b>97</b>
<b>(52)</b>	The minimal access wound after excision of the excess skin.	<b>97</b>
<b>(53)</b>	Vertical folds when appear in the infralobular region at the end of vertical skin redraping in a MACS-lift procedure.	<b>98</b>
<b>(54)</b>	One-year postoperative detailed view of the preauricular scar.	<b>114</b>
<b>(55)</b>	Another example of preauricular scar shown excellent results.	<b>115</b>
<b>(56)</b>	Pre-operative photos of case no1.	<b>117</b>
<b>(57)</b>	1st week post-operative photos of case no1.	<b>118</b>
<b>(58)</b>	3rd week post-operative photos of case no1.	<b>118</b>
<b>(59)</b>	6th month post-operative photos of case no1.	<b>119</b>
<b>(60)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>120</b>
<b>(61)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>120</b>
<b>(62)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>121</b>
<b>(63)</b>	Minimal access scar one-year postoperative.	<b>121</b>
<b>(64)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>122</b>
<b>(65)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>123</b>
<b>(66)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>123</b>
<b>(67)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>124</b>
<b>(68)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>125</b>
<b>(69)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>125</b>
<b>(70)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>126</b>
<b>(71)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>127</b>
<b>(72)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>127</b>
<b>(73)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>128</b>
<b>(74)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>129</b>

<b>(75)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>129</b>
<b>(76)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>130</b>
<b>(77)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>131</b>
<b>(78)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>131</b>
<b>(79)</b>	Preoperative front view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>132</b>
<b>(80)</b>	Preoperative oblique view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>133</b>
<b>(81)</b>	Preoperative side view compared to one-year postoperative.	<b>133</b>
<b>(82)</b>	Complicated preauricular scar makes it obvious.	<b>134</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table</b>	<b>page</b>
<b>(1)</b> Clinical examination of cranial nerve V	<b>66</b>
<b>(2)</b> Clinical examination of cranial nerve VII	<b>67</b>
<b>(3)</b> Photographic grading of cheekbone roundness	<b>69</b>
<b>(4)</b> Photographic grading of the nasolabial fold	<b>70</b>
<b>(5)</b> Photographic grading of the jowls	<b>71</b>
<b>(6)</b> Photographic grading of the platysma bands	<b>72</b>
<b>(7)</b> Photographic grading of the horizontal folds	<b>73</b>
<b>(8)</b> Photographic assessment table.	<b>74</b>
<b>(9)</b> Photographic assessment of case 1.	<b>75</b>
<b>(10)</b> Photographic assessment of case 2.	<b>76</b>
<b>(11)</b> Results of pre-operative grading.	<b>105</b>
<b>(12)</b> Results of post-operative grading.	<b>108</b>

## LIST OF CHARTS

<b>Charts</b>		<b>page</b>
<b>(1)</b>	Gender distribution of included patients.	<b>103</b>
<b>(2)</b>	Age distribution of included patients.	<b>104</b>
<b>(3)</b>	Occupation of included patients.	<b>104</b>
<b>(4)</b>	Number of cases pre-operative per grade in the middle face.	<b>106</b>
<b>(5)</b>	Number of cases pre-operative per grade in the lower face.	<b>106</b>
<b>(6)</b>	Number of cases pre-operative per grade in the upper neck.	<b>107</b>
<b>(7)</b>	Number of post-operative cases per grade in the middle face.	<b>109</b>
<b>(8)</b>	Number of post-operative cases per grade in the lower face.	<b>109</b>
<b>(9)</b>	Number of post-operative cases per grade in the upper neck.	<b>110</b>
<b>(10)</b>	Comparison between pre and post-operative results in the middle face.	<b>110</b>
<b>(11)</b>	Comparison between pre and post-operative results in the lower face.	<b>111</b>
<b>(12)</b>	Comparison between pre and post-operative results in the upper neck.	<b>111</b>
<b>(13)</b>	The mean percentage of improvement per grade in the middle face.	<b>112</b>

<b>Charts</b>		<b>page</b>
<b>(14)</b>	The mean percentage of improvement per grade in the lower face.	<b>112</b>
<b>(15)</b>	The mean percentage of improvement per grade in the upper neck.	<b>113</b>
<b>(16)</b>	The mean percentage of improvement in each region.	<b>113</b>
<b>(17)</b>	The scar quality of short access incision.	<b>114</b>
<b>(18)</b>	Number of cases per each operative time division.	<b>115</b>
<b>(19)</b>	Number of cases according to hospital stay.	<b>116</b>