

---

---

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Table (1):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to homogeneity (n=100).....	28
<b>Table (2):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to demographic data .....	28
<b>Table (3):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to Hounsfield (HU) value.....	29
<b>Table (4):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to maximum transverse diameter .....	30
<b>Table (5):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to HU density and Maximum HU/ size .....	30
<b>Table (6):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to chemical stone analysis.....	31
<b>Table (7):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to their site within the kidney.....	32
<b>Table (8):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to their site within ureter .....	32
<b>Table (9):</b> Relation between Stone analysis and minimum HU in each studied group and total cases.....	33
<b>Table (10):</b> Relation between Stone analysis and Mean HU in each studied group and total cases.....	34
<b>Table (11):</b> Distribution of studied cases in homogenous group according to Mean HU cut off value of 1000 .....	35
<b>Table (12):</b> Relation between Stone analysis and maximum HU in each studied group and total cases.....	36
<b>Table (13):</b> Distribution of studied cases in homogenous group according to Maximum HU cut off value of 1000 .....	37
<b>Table (14):</b> Relation between Stone analysis and HU density in each studied group and total cases.....	38
<b>Table (15):</b> Relation between Stone analysis and Maximum HU/size in each studied group and total cases .....	39
<b>Table (16):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to HU density (HUD) cut off value of 80 in homogeneous group and total cases .....	40
<b>Table (17):</b> Distribution of studied cases according to Max HU/size cut off value of 85 in homogeneous group and total cases.....	41

---

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Page
<b>Figure (1):</b> Chart illustrates commonly occurring urinary tract stones and describes their salient features. KUB = kidney, ureter, bladder).....	5
<b>Figure (2):</b> Flow charts depict treatment decisions based on stone location (kidney in a, ureter in b) and size. ....	6
<b>Figure (3):</b> Flow chart depicts treatment decisions based on stone composition.....	7
<b>Figure (4):</b> Structured radiology report template for assessment of urolithiasis with multidetector CT .....	9
<b>Figure (5):</b> Ureteral stone in a 45-year-old man who presented with acute left flank pain .....	10-11
<b>Figure (6):</b> Ureteral stone in a 47-year-old man with acute right flank pain. ....	12-13
<b>Figure (7):</b> Soft-tissue rim sign .....	14
<b>Figure (8):</b> Ureteral stents. ....	15-16
<b>Figure (9):</b> Staghorn calculus in a 63-year-old man.....	18
<b>Figure (10):</b> Calcium-based stone in a 36-year-old man who presented with acute left flank pain .....	20
<b>Figure (11):</b> Uric acid stone in a 46-year-old man who presented with acute left flank pain .....	21
<b>Figure (12):</b> Measurement of SSD in a 65-year-old man with a left lower pole renal stone .....	22
<b>Figure (13):</b> Bilateral staghorn calculi in a 52-year-old man .....	24

---

---

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

<b>ESWL</b>	: extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy
<b>HU</b>	: Hounsfield unit
<b>HUD</b>	: Hounsfield unit density
<b>JGA</b>	: juxtaglomerular apparatus
<b>KUB</b>	: kidney, ureter, bladder
<b>Max. HU/size</b>	: Maximum HU/size
<b>PCNL</b>	: percutaneous nephrolithotomy
<b>PO</b>	: per os
<b>SA</b>	: surface area
<b>SSD</b>	: stone-to-skin distance
<b>SV</b>	: stone volume
<b>SWL</b>	: shock wave lithotripsy
<b>URS</b>	: Ureteroscopy
<b>US</b>	: Ultrasonography