

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Fig. 1: Location map of the study areas in Egypt..... | 3 |
| Fig. 2: Stratigraphic column and regional distribution of the studied formations..... | 7 |
| Fig. 3: Lithostratigraphic section of the Paleozoic in central Sinai showing the position of Ataqa Formation (after Said 1990)..... | 9 |
| Fig. 4: Generalized lithostratigraphic column of Jurassic and Cretaceous rocks exposed at Gebel Maghara (after Jenkins et al. 1982)..... | 12 |
| Fig. 5: Sedimentary sequences of Upper Cretaceous-Lower Tertiary rocks showing the position of the samples in the studied areas..... | 14 |
| Fig. 6: Smectite average at the studied locations..... | 26 |
| Fig. 7: Kaolinite average at the studied locations..... | 29 |
| Fig. 8: Chlorite average at the studied locations..... | 31 |
| Fig. 9: Illite average at the studied locations..... | 32 |
| Fig. 10: Major elements variation of the studied samples including 7 phosphate samples..... | 37 |
| Fig. 11: The relationships between SiO ₂ with Al ₂ O ₃ , TiO ₂ , Zr & K ₂ O and Al ₂ O ₃ with TiO ₂ & K ₂ O for the studied samples..... | 42 |
| Fig. 12: The relationship between CaO with Na ₂ O, P ₂ O ₅ , MgO, K ₂ O, SiO ₂ & Al ₂ O ₃ for the studied samples..... | 44 |
| Fig. 13: The relationship between TiO ₂ with Al ₂ O ₃ and Zr for the studied samples..... | 46 |
| Fig. 14: The relationships between V with Ni, Cr, Al ₂ O ₃ , clay & TOC and Ni with clay, TOC & Al ₂ O ₃ for the studied samples..... | 49 |
| Fig. 15: The relationship between Cr with Zn, Al ₂ O ₃ , V, clay, Cu and TOC for the studied samples..... | 51 |
| Fig. 16: TiO ₂ / Al ₂ O ₃ binary plot of the studied shale samples..... | 58 |
| Fig. 17: Distribution of P ₂ O ₅ in the studied phosphate samples..... | 59 |
| Fig. 18: The relationship between P ₂ O ₅ with TiO ₂ , CaO & Al ₂ O ₃ | 61 |
| Fig. 19: Stratigraphic position of the studied shales and composite TOC (%) profile | 69 |
| Fig. 20: Van Krevelen-type plot of Rock-Eval data for the studied bulk samples..... | 71 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Average semi-quantitative clay mineralogy of the studied shales (by XRD)..... | 25 |
| Table 2: Comparison of chemical composition of the studied shales with published average shales (1 to 4) and regional average composition (5 to 8)..... | 38 |
| Table 3: The major and trace elements average of formations at the studied locations..... | 39 |
| Table 4: The TOC and Rock-Eval results of the studied shales..... | 70 |