

EFFECT OF SALINE CONDITIONS AND GROWTH REGULATORS  
ON GERMINATION AND GROWTH OF FIVE  
SOYBEAN CULTIVARS PLANT.

- 5 -

\* ZEINAB, Y.M. ABCU BAKR \* AND BODOUR ABOU-LEILA \*\*  
POT. DEPT., WOMEN'S COLLEGE, AIN SHAMS UNIV., AND  
\*\* NATIONAL RESEARCH CENTRE, DOKKI, CAIRO, EGYPT.

ABSTRACT

Seeds of five Glycine max cultivars ( Columbus, Caland, isaex, Clark, and Crawford) were subjected to saline irrigation water ( 0,3000,6000, and 9000 ppm NaCl), and presowing seed soaking in either ( 50 and 100 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>), or ( 500 and 750 ppm CCC ).

Saline irrigation water at the lower level of 3000 ppm increased the germination percent of the tested soybean cultivar seeds except Glycine max cv. Crawford, while the medium and high salinity levels ( 6000 and 9000 ppm ), seed germination of five soybean cultivars was markedly reduced.

All tested aspects of growth including height, fresh and dry weight of the five soybean cvs. seedlings were markedly depressed with the increase of NaCl level in irrigation water.

Under control conditions, presowing seed soaking in both GA or CCC. solutions increased the germination percent of Clark seeds and decreased it for the other varieties. However, under saline conditions, seed soaking treatments improved the germination of Clark and Crawford only, and the treatments relatively reduced the harmful effects of salinity on seedling growth.

## INTRODUCTION

One of the major problems which interferes with crop growth and production is salinity conditions of soil or irrigation water. High salinity levels, usually reduce water absorption which in turn inhibits the most physiological processes, resulting in either reduction or complete failure of seed germination. Several investigators, e.g., Bernstein and Hayward (1958), Stroganov (1962), Tailakov (1967), Petrosovits (1968), Merri and Poljakoff (1970), and, Rai (1977), studied the differential response of seed germination with respect to kind and salt concentration, as well as the emparing effect of these factors on growth and yield aspects of different crops. Mainwhile, the use of growth regulators including gibberellic acid and cycocel is known to increase the tolerance of plants to the adverse effect of soil salinity (Nieman and Bernstein 1959). The response of plants to such substances varies according to the nature of the used substance, its concentration, and the growth stages of treated plants (uppel and sing 1976). Also, it was reported that presowing treatments or hardening of plant seeds induced good germination (Cocks and Donald 1973), increased tolerance of plants to salinity as measured by more active coleopeile growth (Hafeez and Hudson 1964), and, development of bigger root system (Chanduri and Weibe 1964).

Nowadays, the current national plan calls for cultivate economical crops in the newly reclaimed lands by using the available water sources, such as the underground and dranaige water. Under such conditions, it is desirable to evaluate the salinity degree of irrigation water which may not adve- rly reduce seed germination and also try to minimize or counteract the harmful effect of saline water by several means. Therefore the aim of this investigation was to study the influece of chloride salinity and presowing seed treat- ments with  $GA_3$  or ccc., upon seed germination, height, and weight of seedling shoot for five saybean cultivars plant.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot experiment was conducted under green house con- ditions at N.R.C., Dokki, Cairo, Egypt, to study the effect of different levels of sodium chloride, presowing seed treatment with  $GA_3$  or CCC solutions upon germination percen- tage, seedling height, fresh and dry weight (aerial parts), for five soybean cultivars (Columbus, Caland, Issex, Clark, and,Crawford). Plastic pots, 15 cm., in diameter supplied with drainage hole were used as seeds bed, and each pot was filled with air dried pure sand, previo- usly treated with Con. hydrochloric acid and washed throu- ghly with water. Twenty treatments were applied for each Spp., and each treatment consist of ten pots. The treatments were four salinity levels of irrigation water ( 0,3000, 6000, and 9000 ppm.  $NaCl$  ), and their combination with

presowing seed soaking for 6 hrs., in either GA<sub>3</sub> (50 and 100 PPM.), or CCC (500 and 750 PPM.), solutions. Concerning the salinity treatments, the seeds were presowing soaked also for the same period in tap water. In all cases, the soaked seeds in either tap water or growth regulator solutions were air-dried on filter paper before sowing at a constant depth in the pots (10 seeds/pot). After sowing all treatments (each of 10 pots), were arranged in complete randomized block design, and the pots of each treatment received the proper saline irrigation water in sufficient volume to allow dripping, and then at 2 days interval throughout the experimental period. The number of germinated seeds per each pot was daily recorded until a constant number of seedlings was obtained and the germination percentage was then calculated. The seedlings were then allowed to grow, and for seedlings fertilization, one g., from each of Ammonium nitrate and Superphosphate were added to each pot. After six weeks from starting the experiment, seedling height, fresh, and, dry weight (at 70 C°), of seedling aerial parts were determined.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1- Effect of saline Irrigation water and Presowing seed soaking on Germination percent:

The data of germination percent for the seeds of different soybean species as affected by saline irrigation water and presowing seed treatment as well as their inter-

action are presented in table (1). It is obvious from these data that the lowest salinity level of irrigation water increased the germination percent of the tested seeds, with one exception, being the seeds of Cv.Crawford, where its germination percent value decreased than the control. Similar results were obtained by Abichandeni and Bhatt (1965) with Pennisetum typhoides and Sorghum vulgare, and, Mandour etal (1985) with Brassica alba seeds. The medium chloride salinity of irrigation water, (6000 ppm), however showed a slight and insignificant reduction in seed germination of soybean cvs. (Columbus and caland), while seed germination of the other species was markedly decreased. Seed germination of all tested Glycine max cvs. plant was sharply depressed at the higher salinity level of irrigation water (9000ppm), where the lowest germination percentages were recorded. The observed decrease in seed germination of soybean CVS. plant due to high salinity level are in agreement with those obtained by Uprety and sarin (1974), Rai (1977), Khadr etal (1980), and Mandour et. al(1985).

Regarding the effect of presowing seed soaking in  $GA_3$  or CCC. solutions, the obtained data (table 1), reveal that under control conditions (Zero salinity), the concentrations used of these substances decreased seed germination of the tested soybean CVS., except seed germination of CV. Clark, where presowing soaking treatment with the different

concentrations of both substances increased clearly its germination percent. Moreover, the obtained data show that the presowing soaking treatments decreased slightly the germination percent of Crawford in comparison to soybean CVS. (Columbus, Caland, and Issex).

The interreaction effect between saline irrigation water and presowing seed soaking treatments in GA<sub>3</sub> or CCC solutions on seed germination showed various responses table (1). Generally, the presowing soaking treatments in the adopted concentrations of both substances failed to improve seed germination percentage of CVS. Columbus, Caland, and Issex species when seeded under the different levels of saline irrigation water. On the other hand, soaking treatments developed clearly the germination percentage of both CVS. Clark and Crawford, when seeded under such conditions of salinity. The above mentioned results indicate that only the germination of CVS. Clark and Crawford was positively affected by presowing soaking treatments whether they seeded under saline or non saline conditions. In this respect, Soliman (1979), observed promotion effect of CCC solution on seed germination of Egyptian berseem seeded under saline conditions, whereas GA<sub>3</sub> did not overcome this conditions, meanwhile El-Fouly (1972), observed that CCC solution did not improve the germination of wheat seeds under saline conditions.

2- Effect of saline Irrigation water and Presowing seed  
Soaking on seedling Growth:

The mean values of seedling height (cm.), fresh, and, dry weight of seedling shoot, together with their standard error values are presented in tables 2 and 3.

A. seedling Height

Data presented in table (2) showed a general decreasing effect on seedling height by increasing NaCl conc., a result which may be due to the disturbance in metabolic pathway of plants, resulting from the adverse effect of salinity on enzyme activity (Strogonov 1962).

Comparing the data given for GA<sub>3</sub> and CCC growth regulators, it is obvious that, soaking seeds in GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm, increased the seedling height of soybean CVS. (Colombus, Caland, Issex, Clark and Crawford. The results also indicate that CCC treatments had a general decreasing effect on seedling height of the tested seeds except CV.Clark.

The interaction between salinity treatments and growth substances showed that GA<sub>3</sub> treatment 50, and 100 ppm. increased seedling height of the tested seeds grown under different levels of NaCl compared with their counterparts grown under the same levels of salinity but did not soaked previously in GA<sub>3</sub>.

Regarding the effect of CCC upon seeds subjected to saline conditions, the previous table showed that the conc., of 750 ppm CCC depressed seedling height of CVS. ( Caland and Crawford ) and slightly increased the seedling height of CV. Clark however the 500 ppm, conc. did not exert appreciable effects.

#### B - Moisture Content:

Saline irrigation generally induced increase in the moisture content of columbus, Caland and Issex seedlings and remarkable decrease in moisture content of clark and Crawford seedling grown under the different levels of NaCl ( Table 2 ).

The concentrations used of  $GA_3$  and CCC reduced the moisture content of CVS. Clerk and Crawford under saline and non-saline conditions. The increased moisture content of soybean CVS. seedlings grown under saline conditions might be attributed to a decreased rate of transpiration ( Strogonov, 1962 ).

#### C. Fresh and Dry Weight

Data presented in Table (3) revealed that both fresh and dry matter production decreased by increasing NaCl concentration. Pre Soaking seeds in CCC regulators at conc. of 500 and 750, increased both fresh and Dry Weight

production of CVS. Caland, Issex and Clark, a result which is in harmony with those obtained by KishK and Shalaby ( 1983), and Khafagi et. al (1984).

The obtained data indicate clearly that, at least one of the used concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> or CCC stimulated fresh and dry weight production of the five soybean CVS. subjected to saline conditions.

The interaction between salinity conditions and pre-sowing soaking treatments showed in most cases a general reduction in both fresh and dry weight of seedlings. Nevertheless, soaking treatments seems to be usefull, where these treatments enables the seedlings to survive under the highest level of saline water ( 9000 ppm ). Generally, it could be concluded that clark and Crawford seeds seemed to be more salt tolerance, while soybean cultivars Columbus, Caland and Issex seeds are relatively salt sensitive. However the imbalance in nutritive cations in tissues of salt affected plants mey also be responsible for the depression of growth.

#### REFERENCES

- Abichandani, C.T., and Bhatt, P.N. (1965). Salt tolerance at germination of bajra (Pennisetum typhoides and Sorghum vulgare ) Ann. Arid Znne, 4: 36-42.
- Bernstein, L. and H.E. Hayward (1958). Physiology of salt tolerance. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 9: 25-46.

- Chanduri, Y.I., and Weibe, M.H. (1964). The induction of salt resistance by calcium chloride pretreatment of wheat grains. *Nature wissenschaftan*, 51: 563-564.
- Cocks, P.S. , and Donald, C.M. (1973). The germination and establishment of two annual grasses (*Hordium leporinum* Link and *Lolium rigidum* Gaud.) Aust. J. Agric. Res. 24: 1-10.
- El-Fouly, M.M. (1972). Enzyme activity in wheat seedlings grown under different NaCl salinity levels and their interaction with growth regulators. *Biochem. physiol. Pflanz (BPP)* 163 (5): 492-498.
- Hafeez, A.T.A., and Hudson, J.P. , ( 1964 ). Effect of hardening raddish seeds. *Nature*, 216-288.
- Khadr, A.A., Nowalgg, N., and Hussein, N.M. (1980). Differential tolerance of wheat, barley, broadbeans, and pea seedling to various levels of salinity, *Bull. N.R.C. Egypt*, 5, 283-289.
- Khafagi, O.A., Shalaby, A.A., and E.T. Kishk (1984). Effect of (2-chloroethyl Trimethyl Ammonium Chloride "CCC" on the salt tolerance of wheat, barley and broadbean. *Desert Inst. Bull. A.R.E.* 34: No. 1, 323-336.
- Kishk, E.T. and Shalaby, A.A. (1983). Effect of CCC and 2, 4-D on salt tolerance of *Vigna sinensis* L. in calcareous soil 1st Conf. of Agric., 1983, Egypt, Soc. of Crop. Sci.

- Mandour, M.S., Sohier El-Sherbeny and Fatma Rada (1985).  
Seed germination of two Brassica spp. as affected  
by different saline solutions. Zagazig of Journal  
of Agricultural Research. Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- Meiri, A., and A. Poljakoff-Mayber (1970). Effect of  
Various salinity regimes on growth, leaf expan-  
sion and transpiration rate of bean plants. Soil  
Sci., 104: 26-32.
- Nieman, R.H. and L. Bernstein (1959). Interactive effects  
of gibberellic acid and salinity on the growth  
of beans. Amer. Jour. Bot. 46 (9): 667-670.
- Petrasovita, I. (1968). The salt tolerance of plants in  
the germination phase and under irrigation. Agrokem.  
Talajt., 17, No. 1, 61-67.
- Rai, M. (1977). Salinity tolerance in indian mustard and  
safflower. Indian J. of Agric. Sci., 47: 70-73.
- Soliman, M. D. (1979). Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and CCC on growth  
and structure of bersseem clover grown under  
different salinity levels. M.Sc. Fac. of Agric.,  
Cairo Univ.
- Strogonov, B.P. (1962). Physiological basis of salt  
tolerance of plants. Academy Science of U.S.S.R.,  
Inst. of plant Physiology. English translation  
by Isreal program of Scientific Translation (1964).
- Tailakov, V. (1967). Germination of Sorghum and Maize  
seeds in salt solutions. IZV. Akad. Nauk. Turkmen  
SSR No. 1, 34-39.

Women's Coll. Ann. Rev.  
Vol. 15 (1990).

Uppel, S. and V.P. Singh. (1976). Note on germination  
in Soybean Jour. of Res. 6 (1): 85-86.

Upreti, D.C. and M.N. Sarin (1974). Physiological studies  
on salt tolerance in Pisum sativum L.I. Germination  
and seedling growth. Acta Agronomica Academiae  
Scientiarum Hung Aricae, 23, 3-4: 269-274.

Table (1): Effect of saline condition and seed treatment on germination percent of soybean CVS. Columbus, Caland, Issex, Clark and Crawford.

NaCl ppm	Growth Subs.ppm.	Columbus		Caland		Issex		Clark		Crawford	
		germ. %	germ. % as % of the cont.	germ. %	germ. % as % of the cont.	germ. %	germ. % as % of the cont.	germ. %	germ. % as % of the cont.	germ. %	germ. % as % of the cont.
0	Control	77.0	100.0	78.3	100.0	93.3	100.0	68.8	100.0	91.0	100.0
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	53.0	68.8	54.3	69.3	69.3	74.8	80.8	117.4	86.0	94.5
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	66.6	86.4	67.0	85.5	82.0	87.8	84.3	122.5	88.0	96.7
	CCC 500	54.5	70.7	55.5	70.8	61.0	65.3	94.7	137.6	85.0	93.4
	CCC 750	70.0	90.9	73.0	93.2	77.0	82.5	94.0	136.6	91.0	100.0
3000	Control	90.0	100.0	79.6	100.0	98.3	100.0	81.6	100.0	81.2	100.0
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	57.0	63.3	58.5	73.5	65.0	66.1	83.0	101.7	83.7	103.0
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	62.0	68.8	63.4	79.6	70.0	71.2	86.0	105.3	86.0	105.9
	CCC 500	64.0	71.1	65.0	81.6	72.0	73.2	83.2	101.9	82.0	100.9
	CCC 750	61.0	67.7	62.0	77.8	69.0	70.1	85.0	104.1	87.0	107.1
6000	Control	74.0	100.0	76.0	100.0	82.0	100.0	58.0	100.0	61.2	100.0
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	51.8	68.9	53.0	69.7	59.0	71.9	66.0	113.7	68.0	101.1
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	48.0	64.8	50.0	65.7	56.0	68.2	73.0	125.0	71.0	116.4
	CCC 500	52.0	70.2	53.4	70.2	58.0	70.7	72.0	124.1	67.0	109.5
	CCC 750	48.0	64.8	49.5	65.1	54.0	65.8	76.0	131.0	73.5	120.0
9000	Control	61.0	100.0	62.0	100.0	69.0	100.0	43.0	100.0	42.0	100.0
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	35.0	57.3	36.5	58.8	43.0	62.3	48.0	111.6	44.0	104.8
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	40.0	65.5	42.0	67.7	48.0	69.5	53.0	123.2	50.3	120.0
	CCC 500	41.0	67.2	43.4	70.0	49.0	71.0	54.0	125.5	48.0	114.3
	CCC 750	39.0	63.9	40.0	64.5	47.0	68.1	56.0	130.2	52.5	125.0

Table (2): Effect of the interaction between salinity and growth substances on shoot height (cm) and moisture content (%) of five Glycine max cultivars.

Treatments	Columbus		Calend		Issex		Clark		Crawford	
	Shoot height cm	Moisture content %								
Control	10.5±1.9	79.2	14.0±3.4	81.4	15.0±2.3	82.7	14.9±2.5	86.2	15.2±3.7	82.5
GA <sub>3</sub> 50	8.9±1.7	81.9	17.0±3.1	83.3	13.0±2.9	78.5	19.2±3.0	84.6	14.3±2.2	75.7
GA <sub>3</sub> 100	12.4±1.5	82.8	21.0±2.2	84.7	17.0±2.2	83.5	19.3±1.4	83.2	17.8±3.6	75.6
CCC 500	8.9±0.7	77.5	11.0±2.2	81.9	14.0±1.6	80.8	18 ±1.7	80.7	14.5±3.1	74.3
CCC 750	11.9±1.1	77.4	10.0±1.9	80.7	16.0±1.1	82.0	18.5±2.0	81.8	14.0±2.1	82.4
Control	9.2±1.4	83.3	9.6±1.4	85.5	13.7±2.0	85.6	14.2±1.3	84.4	14.8±1.8	80.6
GA <sub>3</sub> 50	9.6±0.8	84.2	11.9±1.2	82.3	14.1±3.0	83.0	17.2±3.2	80.3	14.0±2.0	72.7
GA <sub>3</sub> 100	13.3±1.5	82.0	16.4±1.4	83.6	17.7±5.2	83.7	16.6±2.6	83.2	16.0±0.3	70.5
CCC 500	9.0±1.7	81.3	7.6±1.2	81.5	13.4±1.2	82.6	15.2±2.8	81.8	13.0±1.9	72.5
CCC 750	11.3±2.0	80.4	8.6±1.6	81.7	15.7±4.1	83.8	16.6±3.0	82.3	13.3±2.1	74.5
Control	8.0±0.3	83.3	7.4±0.6	84.2	12.5±1.8	81.6	13.5±1.8	83.0	13.2±2.1	70.0
GA <sub>3</sub> 50	11.4±0.7	82.8	9.7±1.3	81.8	15.9±3.8	77.7	16.3±3.0	80.6	14.2±1.6	72.3
GA <sub>3</sub> 100	12.9±2.0	83.7	13.1±0.8	83.5	16.9±3.5	81.3	16.2±3.0	81.8	16.9±2.1	71.2
CCC 500	9.1±1.7	73.3	6.9±0.3	82.5	13.6±1.6	74.2	14.5±1.2	80.4	12.7±2.0	71.4
CCC 750	9.6±1.9	77.5	5.9±1.1	80.0	14.1±1.1	78.2	15.2±2.6	79.0	13.9±1.8	71.0
Control	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
GA <sub>3</sub> 50	11.0±1.5	85.7	8.3±0.7	81.8	15.0±2.6	76.3	14.3±1.5	80.5	13 ±1.6	66.0
GA <sub>3</sub> 100	13.2±2.1	85.0	9.8±1.4	82.7	17.0±3.6	75.0	15.6±2.3	81.4	15.3±3.2	62.5
CCC 500	9.1±1.8	80.0	4.8±0.9	80.5	13.1±4.4	61.7	13.6±4.1	79.6	12.3±1.2	60.0
CCC 750	11.0±1.9	72.5	3.0±0.4	81.3	15.0±2.6	68.3	14.3±1.5	80.0	13.3±1.0	60.6

\* Means and standard deviation of 20 plants.

Table (3): Effect of the interaction between salinity and growth substances on fresh weight(9/plant) and dry weight (9/plant) of five Glycine max cultivars.

Treatments		Columbus		Calmd		Issex		Clark		Crowford	
Sal ppm	Growth subs. ppm	Fr.wt. 9/plant	Dry wt. 9/plant	Fr.wt. 9/plant	Dry.wt. 9/plant	Fr.wt. 9/plant	Dry wt. 9/plant	Fr.wt. 9/plant	Dry wt. 9/plant	Fr.wt. 9/plant	Dry wt 9/plant
0	Control	2.40±0.2	0.5	2.10±0.1	0.39	2.20±0.12	0.38	3.19±0.18	0.44	3.25±0.4	0.57
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	2.21±0.03	0.4	2.40±0.3	0.40	2.60±0.4	0.56	3.20±0.37	0.49	2.80±0.21	0.60
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	2.92±0.2	0.6	2.30±0.3	0.35	2.80±0.46	0.46	2.88±0.4	0.47	2.24±1.0	0.56
	CCC 500	1.82±0.1	0.41	2.21±0.4	0.40	2.50±0.2	0.48	3.01±0.29	0.58	2.10±0.14	0.54
	CCC 750	2.30±0.21	0.52	2.29±0.4	0.44	2.90±0.1	0.52	3.09±0.3	0.56	3.24±0.3	0.57
3000	Control	1.50±0.12	0.25	1.80±0.01	0.26	2.50±0.21	0.36	3.33±0.08	0.52	3.04±5.0	0.58
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	1.94±0.02	0.30	2.20±0.34	0.41	2.53±1.4	0.43	4.23±0.5	0.50	2.20±0.23	0.60
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	2.00±0.04	0.36	2.50±0.32	0.41	2.95±0.85	0.48	3.12±0.06	0.52	1.90±0.01	0.56
	CCC 500	1.39±0.32	0.26	1.90±0.25	0.35	2.48±1.2	0.43	2.65±0.04	0.48	2.00±0.21	0.55
	CCC 750	1.58±0.51	0.31	2.30±0.4	0.42	2.91±1.0	0.47	3.00±0.03	0.53	2.20±0.42	0.56
6000	Control	0.9±0.01	0.15	1.46±0.5	0.22	1.96±0.04	0.36	2.83±0.02	0.48	1.80±0.12	0.54
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	1.4±0.25	0.24	2.42±0.2	0.44	1.84±0.1	0.41	2.84±0.3	0.55	2.02±0.98	0.54
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	1.6±0.43	0.26	2.36±1.2	0.39	2.24±0.21	0.42	2.76±1.2	0.50	1.98±1.2	0.54
	CCC 500	0.6±0.02	0.16	1.95±0.3	0.34	1.82±0.05	0.47	2.30±0.25	0.45	1.85±0.88	0.5
	CCC 750	0.8±0.1	0.18	1.60±0.1	0.32	1.70±0.03	0.37	2.0±1.0	0.42	1.97±0.92	0.5
9000	Control	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	GA <sub>3</sub> 50	0.7±0.002	0.10	1.60±0.61	0.29	1.35±0.01	0.32	1.80±0.9	0.35	1.50±0.3	0.4
	GA <sub>3</sub> 100	0.8±0.04	0.12	1.91±0.09	0.33	1.32±0.12	0.33	2.10±1.2	0.39	1.20±0.11	0.4
	CCC 500	0.4±0.03	0.08	1.95±0.3	0.38	0.94±0.03	0.36	2.10±0.32	0.44	1.10±0.19	0.4
	CCC 750	0.4±0.02	0.11	1.50±0.01	0.28	1.20±0.015	0.38	1.70±0.15	0.34	1.32±0.21	0.4

\* Means and standard deviation of 20 plants.