

# Abstract

Due to the increase of applications of using pumps as turbines, it is very important to get true practical information related to this subject. The aim of this work is to model an inline centrifugal pump in direct mode as a pump and in reverse mode as a turbine “PAT” experimentally and computationally and to study the relation between their performance curves in both modes. In addition, the validity of different correlations concerning pump and turbine performance with the inline centrifugal pump type is studied.

The experimental setup is comprised of an inline centrifugal pump that is coupled directly with a DC motor. The performance of the pump direct mode is tested by controlling a delivery valve opening and an electronic load controller “ELC” to control the speed of rotation of the motor in order to predict its performance curves at various speeds of rotation. In addition, the pump is tested in the reverse direction as a turbine by controlling its delivery valve opening and adjusting the electronic load controller “ELC” in order to predict the performance curves at the same speeds of rotation of the direct mode. Hence, the relation between the two sets of the performance curves is studied.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) using the commercial code FLUENT was used to predict pump and pump as turbine performance curves at several speeds of rotations. It shows the behavior of the flow through the pump and PAT and illustrates the losses in the volute casing in pump case. The computational results are validated and verified using the experimental results. The effect of the outlet pipe shape was studied carefully.

The comparison between the computational and experimental results show a good agreement in the trend behavior, but still the deviation between their values is distant. Therefore, this problem needs further research to reduce this gap between them. The trend of the computational results are validated with the experimental ones which assure the CFD tool as a reliable solution for complicated problems.

The obtained results reveal that:

- A pump could be operated as a turbine at different speeds of rotation without mechanical problems.
- An inline centrifugal pump which is considered a special type with low power could operate effectively as a turbine.
- The shape of the volute casing has a great effect on the results at the pump mode.
- The comparison between the computational and experimental results shows good agreement at the turbine mode and shows a slight deviation at the pump mode.

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....I	
Abstract .....II	
Table of Contents ..... III	
List of Tables ..... V	
List of Figures ..... VI	
Nomenclature..... IX	
1. CHAPTER (1).....2	
INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....2	
1.1. Introduction ..... 2	
1.2. Using a Pump as Turbine ..... 2	
1.3. Applications of a Pump As Turbine..... 4	
1.4. Limitation of Using PATs and Ways of Overcoming..... 9	
1.5. PAT Specific Speed Limits ..... 10	
1.6. Pump and Turbine Performance Curves ..... 12	
1.7. Characteristics of PATs..... 12	
1.8. PATs versus Conventional Turbines..... 14	
1.9. Literature Review ..... 15	
1.9.1. Historical Overview ..... 15	
1.9.2. Pump as Turbine Review ..... 15	
1.9.3. Correlations of PATs: ..... 16	
1.10. Scope and Objectives..... 24	
2. CHAPTER (2).....27	
2 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP.....27	
2.1 Introduction ..... 27	
2.2 Experimental Setup ..... 27	
2.3 Pump Mode ..... 38	
2.4 Turbine Mode..... 39	
3. Chapter (3).....41	
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.....41	
3.1. Introduction ..... 41	
3.2. Pump Mode ..... 41	
3.3. Turbine Mode..... 42	

3.4.	Pump Mode versus Turbine Mode .....	43
4.	CHAPTER (4).....	53
	GOVERNING EQUATIONS AND NUMERICAL METHODS.....	53
4.1.	Introduction .....	53
4.2	Governing equations and numerical methods .....	53
4.2.1	Equations of motion for fluid flow .....	53
4.2.2.	Equations of motion in a rotating frame of reference .....	54
	Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes Equations .....	55
3.3	Turbulence model.....	57
1.	Standard k- $\epsilon$ Model .....	57
2.	RNG k- $\epsilon$ Model.....	59
3.	Realizable k- $\epsilon$ Model .....	59
4.4	CFD modelling procedure.....	62
1.	Pre-processor.....	62
2.	Solver .....	62
3.	Post-processor .....	62
5.	CHAPTER (5).....	64
	CFD RESULTS .....	64
5.1.	Introduction .....	64
5.2.	Geometry drawing.....	64
5.3.	Mesh generation .....	67
5.4	Solver .....	68
5.5.	Grid Independence study.....	69
5.6.	Ratios between Rotating and stationary zones .....	71
5.7.	Pump Mode Results .....	72
5.8.	Turbine Mode Results .....	78
6.	Chapter (6) .....	83
	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK.....	83
6.1.	Conclusions .....	83
6.2.	Recommendations for further work .....	83
	References .....	85
A.	Appendix (A).....	88
B.	Appendix (B).....	92
	APPENDIX (C).....	99

## List of Tables

Table (3-1) flow rate and pressure of turbine mode for different correlations at 2000 RPM...	50
Table (5-1) Meshing parameters.....	67
Table (5-2) Grid Independence study for Turbine mode .....	71
Table (5-3) Grid Independence study for Pump mode .....	71
Table (5-4) boundary conditions for the BEP (pump mode) .....	73
Table (5-5) boundary conditions for the BEP (Turbine mode).....	79

## List of Figures

Figure (1-1) Conceptual sketch of a PAT system [1].....	3
Figure (1-2) Micro-Hydro system.....	5
Figure (1-3) Four of eight parallel PATs in service at the water utility authority [1].....	7
Figure (1-4) A schematic diagram of the desalination plant [3].....	8
Figure (1-5) Pumped storage plant [4].....	8
Figure (1-6) Comparison between the runner of a Francis turbine and the runner of a PAT for a similar performance based on a drawing by Meier [4].....	9
Figure (1-7) The application range for using section and volute casing pumps operating as turbines.....	10
Figure (1-8) Limit of operation of using PATs [6].....	11
Figure (1-9) Head and flow ranges for various turbines [6].....	12
Figure (1-10) Complete characteristics of pump for a constant speed [2].....	13
Figure (1-11) Normalized performance characteristics for a pump operating in the normal pump mode and in turbine mode [7].....	14
Figure (2-1) A schematic diagram of the experimental setup.....	28
Figure (2-2) Experimental Setup.....	29
Figure (2-3) “Grundfos” UPS 25-60 180 Inline Centrifugal pump.....	30
Figure (2-4) DC motor and its coupling.....	30
Figure (2-5) Electrical circuit for pump mode control.....	31
Figure (2-6) Electrical circuit for turbine mode control.....	32
Figure (2-7) Electrical circuit and setup.....	32
Figure (2-8) PVC pipeline.....	34
Figure (2-9) pipeline fixation.....	34
Figure (2-10) Flange arrangement of the PVC pipeline.....	34
Figure (2-11) Overhead tank.....	35
Figure (2-12) Orifice meter three-dimensional.....	35
Figure (2-13) Orifice schematic diagram.....	36

Figure (2-14) Ball valve for On/Off control.....	37
Figure (2-15) Globe valve for flow control.....	37
Figure (2-16) Ground tank.....	38
Figure (2-17) Schematic diagram of pump mode.....	38
Figure (2-18) Schematic diagram of turbine mode.....	39
Figure (3-1) Head versus flow rate for pump mode at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	44
Figure (3-2) I/P power to the motor versus flow rate for pump mode at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	44
Figure (3-3) efficiency versus flow rate for pump mode at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	45
Figure (3-4) Head versus flow rate for turbine mode at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	45
Figure (3-5) I/P power versus flow rate for turbine mode at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	46
Figure (3-6) Efficiency versus flow rate for turbine mode at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	46
Figure (3-7) Head versus flow rate for pump and turbine modes at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	47
Figure (3-8) I/P power versus flow rate for pump and turbine modes at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	47
Figure (3-9) Efficiency versus flow rate for pump and turbine modes at 1700 and 2000 RPM.....	48
Figure (3-10) Dimensionless head versus Dimensionless flow rate of PATs in pump and turbine modes for different specific speeds [17].....	48
Figure (3-11) Dimensionless power versus Dimensionless flow rate of PATs in pump and turbine modes for different specific speeds [17].....	49
Figure (3-12) Dimensionless efficiency versus Dimensionless flow rate of PATs in pump and turbine modes for different specific speeds [17].....	49
Figure (3-13) difference between (H-Q) characteristic curve obtained from experimental results and from Nourbaksh correlation.....	51
Figure (5-1) pump geometry.....	65
Figure (5-2) volute casing disassembled from the pump.....	65
Figure (5-3) disassembled pump impeller.....	66
Figure (5-4) pump three-dimensional geometry.....	66
Figure (5-5) frontal view of pump three-dimensional geometry.....	67
Figure (5-6) close view of the meshing.....	69

Figure (5-7) close view of the rotating zone meshing.....	70
Figure (5-8) close view of the stationary zone meshing.....	70
Figure (5-9) close view of the meshing in a high curvature in the pipe outlet.....	71
Figure (5-10) Different Ratios between moving and stationary zones.....	72
Figure (5-11) reverse flow in pump inlet pipe.....	73
Figure (5-12) reverse flow in pump outlet pipe.....	74
Figure (5-13) reverse flow in pump inlet and outlet sections.....	74
Figure (5-14) Pressure contours of a plane in the mid of the impeller thickness.....	74
Figure (5-15) Pressure contours of a plane in the mid of the inlet and outlet pipes.....	75
Figure (5-16) velocity contours of the mid plane in the impeller thickness.....	75
Figure (5-17) comparison between experimental and numerical curves for 2000 RPM.....	76
Figure (5-18) comparison between experimental curve for 2000 RPM and numerical curve for 2800 RPM and curve obtained from isoefficiency laws for 2800 RPM.....	76
Figure (5-19) (H-Q) without outlet pipe at 2000PRM for pump mode.....	77
Figure (5-20) swirl at the entrance of the impeller from the inlet pipe.....	78
Figure (5-21) difference between flow path through conventional centrifugal pump and inline centrifugal pump.....	78
Figure (5-22) Pressure contours on a plane at the mid of the impeller thickness.....	79
Figure (5-23) Pressure contours of a plane in the mid of the inlet and outlet pipes.....	80
Figure (5-24) velocity contours of the mid plane in the impeller thickness.....	80
Figure (5-25) (H-Q) characteristic curve for turbine mode.....	81
Figure (A-1) Pressure gauge calibration (4 bar).....	88
Figure (A-2) pressure gauge calibration (1.5 bar).....	89
Figure (B-1) Repeatability test at 2400RPM.....	92
Figure (B-2) Repeatability test at 2250RPM.....	93
Figure (B-3) Repeatability test at 2100RPM.....	93
Figure (B-4) Repeatability test at 1950RPM.....	94
Figure (B-5) Repeatability test at 1700RPM.....	94
Figure (B-6) Repeatability test at 1500RPM.....	95

## Nomenclature

ALCC	Annual life cycle cost
BEP	best efficiency point
ELC	Electronic load controller
HPRT	Hydraulic power recovery turbines
IFOA	The Foxboro Integral Orifice Flow Meter Assembly
IGC	Induction generator controller
$\eta$	effeciency
$N_s$	Specific speed
PAT	pump as turbine
$\Omega$	Angular velocity
$\beta$	The ratio between the orifice bore diameter and pipe diameter
$H$	head
$Q$	flowrate
$U$	relative velocity vector in a rotating frame of reference
$k$	turbulent kinetic energy
$r$	position vector from the origin of rotation to the point of interest
$\varepsilon$	rate of dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy
$\mu$	dynamic viscosity of the fluid
$\pi$	Dimensionless power
$\rho$	Density
$\psi$	Dimensionless head
$\phi$	Dimensionless flowrate

Superscripts

' fluctuating component

- mean component

### Subscripts

TE Estimated Turbine-mode

p Pump

T turbine

h hydraulic