
LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table (1): Distribution of studied cases according to homogeneity (n=100).....	28
Table (2): Distribution of studied cases according to demographic data	28
Table (3): Distribution of studied cases according to Hounsfield (HU) value.....	29
Table (4): Distribution of studied cases according to maximum transverse diameter	30
Table (5): Distribution of studied cases according to HU density and Maximum HU/ size	30
Table (6): Distribution of studied cases according to chemical stone analysis.....	31
Table (7): Distribution of studied cases according to their site within the kidney.....	32
Table (8): Distribution of studied cases according to their site within ureter	32
Table (9): Relation between Stone analysis and minimum HU in each studied group and total cases.....	33
Table (10): Relation between Stone analysis and Mean HU in each studied group and total cases.....	34
Table (11): Distribution of studied cases in homogenous group according to Mean HU cut off value of 1000	35
Table (12): Relation between Stone analysis and maximum HU in each studied group and total cases.....	36
Table (13): Distribution of studied cases in homogenous group according to Maximum HU cut off value of 1000	37
Table (14): Relation between Stone analysis and HU density in each studied group and total cases.....	38
Table (15): Relation between Stone analysis and Maximum HU/size in each studied group and total cases	39
Table (16): Distribution of studied cases according to HU density (HUD) cut off value of 80 in homogeneous group and total cases	40
Table (17): Distribution of studied cases according to Max HU/size cut off value of 85 in homogeneous group and total cases.....	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Page
Figure (1): Chart illustrates commonly occurring urinary tract stones and describes their salient features. KUB = kidney, ureter, bladder).....	5
Figure (2): Flow charts depict treatment decisions based on stone location (kidney in a, ureter in b) and size.	6
Figure (3): Flow chart depicts treatment decisions based on stone composition.....	7
Figure (4): Structured radiology report template for assessment of urolithiasis with multidetector CT	9
Figure (5): Ureteral stone in a 45-year-old man who presented with acute left flank pain	10-11
Figure (6): Ureteral stone in a 47-year-old man with acute right flank pain.	12-13
Figure (7): Soft-tissue rim sign	14
Figure (8): Ureteral stents.	15-16
Figure (9): Staghorn calculus in a 63-year-old man.....	18
Figure (10): Calcium-based stone in a 36-year-old man who presented with acute left flank pain	20
Figure (11): Uric acid stone in a 46-year-old man who presented with acute left flank pain	21
Figure (12): Measurement of SSD in a 65-year-old man with a left lower pole renal stone	22
Figure (13): Bilateral staghorn calculi in a 52-year-old man.....	24

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ESWL	: extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy
HU	: Hounsfield unit
HUD	: Hounsfield unit density
JGA	: juxtaglomerular apparatus
KUB	: kidney, ureter, bladder
Max. HU/size	: Maximum HU/size
PCNL	: percutaneous nephrolithotomy
PO	: per os
SA	: surface area
SSD	: stone-to-skin distance
SV	: stone volume
SWL	: shock wave lithotripsy
URS	: Ureteroscopy
US	: Ultrasonography