

## LIST OF FIGURES

|             |  |    |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.1  | Waste tires in an open area .....  | 7  |
| Figure 2.2  | Components of regular car tire .....   | 8  |
| Figure 2.3  | The mechanical grinding process. ....  | 9  |
| Figure 2.4  | 20-30 mm rubber chips .....  | 10 |
| Figure 2.5  | Rubber of 10 mm size .....   | 11 |
| Figure 2.6  | Crumb rubber at different sizes .....  | 12 |
| Figure 2.7  | Different sizes of rubber fibers. ....   | 13 |
| Figure 2.8  | The uses of waste tires in the U.S market .....  | 14 |
| Figure 2.9  | The applications of ground rubber in the U.S in 2011 .....   | 16 |
| Figure 2.10 | Examples on some products from waste rubber .....  | 17 |
| Figure 2.11 | The slump values of different rubber contents [30] .....   | 19 |
| Figure 2.12 | The effect of rubber surface treatment on slump values [30] .....  | 20 |
| Figure 2.13 | The relationship between the density and rubber/cement ratio (by mass) [1].....  | 21 |
| Figure 2.14 | The compressive strength for rubberized concrete [4].....  | 22 |
| Figure 2.15 | Compressive strength for rubberized concrete [21] .....  | 23 |
| Figure 2.16 | Flexural strength results by Hai Huynh for ground rubber mixes and 4.75mm<br>fibrous rubber [22] .....                         | 24 |
| Figure 2.17 | Stress-strain curves for control concrete and concrete with 7.5% crumb rubber as<br>a replacement of fine aggregate [14] ..... | 24 |
| Figure 2.18 | The damping ratio of normal concrete and rubberized concrete with 20% rubber<br>content [38] .....                             | 25 |
| Figure 2.19 | Flexural strength of concrete containing coated rubber chips [5].....  | 28 |
| Figure 2.20 | Compressive strength test samples of the rubberized concrete containing rubber<br>particles coated with cement paste .....     | 28 |
| Figure 2.21 | Compressive strength test samples of the rubberized concrete containing plain<br>rubber particles .....                        | 29 |
| Figure 2.22 | Compressive strength for different treatment methods [30] .....  | 29 |
| Figure 2.23 | Tensile strength for different treatment methods [30].....   | 30 |
| Figure 3.1  | The sieve analysis of sand .....   | 36 |
| Figure 3.2  | The sieve analysis of Pink limestone .....   | 36 |
| Figure 3.3  | 4mm Crumb rubber .....   | 37 |
| Figure 3.4  | Fibrous rubber separated into different sizes .....  | 38 |
| Figure 3.5  | Fibrous rubber used in the study .....   | 39 |
| Figure 3.6  | Drop weight test equipment .....   | 41 |
| Figure 3.7  | Parameters calculated for evaluation of flexural toughness .....   | 44 |
| Figure 3.8  | Arrangement of specimen for Flexural toughness test .....  | 45 |
| Figure 3.9  | Types of rubber particles .....  | 48 |
| Figure 3.10 | The temperature gradient through the sample .....  | 51 |
| Figure 3.11 | Configuration of Lee test of bad conductors .....  | 51 |
| Figure 3.12 | Rubberized concrete specimen of Lee test .....   | 52 |
| Figure 3.13 | The temperature - time relation for calculation of Q .....   | 53 |
| Figure 3.14 | Configuration of sound attenuation test .....  | 54 |
| Figure 4.1  | Factors affecting compressive strength after 7 days .....  | 59 |
| Figure 4.2  | Factors affecting compressive strength after 28 days .....   | 60 |
| Figure 4.3  | The contribution of each factor on cube concrete compressive strength after 7<br>days .....                                    | 61 |
| Figure 4.4  | The contribution of each factor on cube concrete compressive strength after 28<br>days .....                                   | 62 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.5  | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels after 28 days on cube compressive strength .....                                      | 64  |
| Figure 4.6  | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels after 28 days on cube compressive strength .....                                      | 65  |
| Figure 4.7  | Factors affecting slump test results .....  | 78  |
| Figure 4.8  | The contribution of each factor on slump test results .....   | 79  |
| Figure 4.9  | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on slump test results .....   | 81  |
| Figure 4.10 | Factors affecting static modulus of elasticity test results .....   | 84  |
| Figure 4.11 | The contribution of each factor on static modulus of elasticity .....   | 86  |
| Figure 4.12 | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on static modulus of elasticity .....   | 88  |
| Figure 4.13 | Factors affecting first crack impact resistance .....   | 92  |
| Figure 4.14 | Factors affecting failure impact resistance .....   | 93  |
| Figure 4.15 | The contribution of each factor on first crack impact resistance .....  | 94  |
| Figure 4.16 | The contribution of each factor on failure impact resistance .....  | 94  |
| Figure 4.17 | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on first crack impact resistance .....  | 97  |
| Figure 4.18 | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on failure impact resistance .....  | 98  |
| Figure 4.19 | Factors affecting Flexural toughness .....  | 102 |
| Figure 4.20 | Factors affecting Flexural toughness .....  | 103 |
| Figure 4.21 | The contribution of each factor on Flexural strength .....  | 105 |
| Figure 4.22 | The contribution of each factor on Flexural toughness .....   | 105 |
| Figure 4.23 | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on flexural strength .....  | 107 |
| Figure 4.24 | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on flexural toughness .....   | 108 |
| Figure 4.25 | Factors affecting abrasion resistance .....   | 112 |
| Figure 4.26 | The contribution of each factor on abrasion resistance .....  | 113 |
| Figure 4.27 | Effect of two factor interaction at different factor levels on abrasion resistance .....  | 115 |
| Figure 5.1  | Thermal conductivity (K) for conventional concrete and rubberized concrete at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber particles ..... | 119 |
| Figure 5.2  | The correlation between the thermal conductivity and density.....   | 120 |
| Figure 5.3  | Attenuation coefficient of normal concrete and rubberized concrete at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber .....                   | 121 |
| Figure 5.4  | Density at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber .....  | 122 |
| Figure 5.5  | Water absorption at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber .....   | 123 |
| Figure 5.6  | Cube compressive strength at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber.....   | 124 |
| Figure 5.7  | Drop weight test results at different rubber contents .....   | 125 |
| Figure 5.8  | Number of blows for crack propagation.....  | 126 |
| Figure 5.9  | Flexural strength at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber.....   | 127 |
| Figure 5.10 | Flexural maximum deflections at different percentages of sand replacement by rubber.....  | 128 |
| Figure 5.11 | Thermal conductivity (K) for rubber-cement and rubber-epoxy composites.....   | 129 |
| Figure 5.12 | Attenuation coefficients of rubber-cement and rubber-epoxy composites.....  | 131 |
| Figure 5.13 | Dry unit weight of rubber-cement and rubber-epoxy composites.....   | 132 |
| Figure 5.14 | The specimens of rubber-cement and rubber-epoxy composites .....  | 133 |
| Figure 5.15 | The shape of failure under compression load for rubber-cement composites.....   | 134 |
| Figure 5.16 | The shape of failure under compression load for rubber-epoxy composites.....  | 135 |
| Figure 5.17 | The stress-strain curve for rubber-cement composites.....   | 136 |
| Figure 5.18 | The stress-strain curve for rubber-epoxy composites.....  | 136 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Figure 5.19 Rubber-epoxy specimens after the first cycle of loading .....   | 137 |
| Figure 5.20 The loading cycles of rubber-epoxy composite with Fiber 8.....  | 137 |
| Figure 5.21 The loading cycles of rubber-epoxy composite with Fiber 4.....  | 138 |
| Figure 5.22 Rubber epoxy composite with Fiber 8 after one loading cycle (a) and eight cycles<br>of loading (b)..... | 138 |