

Chapter 5

Conclusions and Future Work

In this thesis, we studied the effect of energy harvesting and feedback exploitation on the stability region and the delay for different systems. We characterized the benefits of exploiting the feedback information which provides some sort of coordination. Such coordination decreases the consumed energy and increases the throughput of the systems. We also identified the loss in the stability region and the delay prolongation that occurs due to the energy restriction.

We first considered a cognitive spectrum access scheme in which the secondary user benefits from overhearing the primary user feedback. The SU(s) accesses the channel through a random access scheme and the access probabilities are selected based on the overheard primary feedback as well as the sensing decisions. The SUs are allowed to access the channel as long as they do not violate a primary user quality of service constraint. In this case, we have considered two primary user quality of service constraints, namely, the primary user queue stability constraint and the PU average packet delay constraint. We have considered an interference based model in which simultaneous transmissions from the primary and the secondary users do not always result in a packet loss. In the case, we select the secondary user access probabilities to maximize the secondary user throughput under the primary user quality of service constraint. Our results show significant gains of the interference based model if compared to the collision-based model. These gains decrease as the effect of the interference increases.

Then, we studied the stability of queues in a random access network where the nodes have rechargeable finite energy sources, and collision resolution capability through the exploitation of the feedback information. Collision resolution is achieved by allowing only one node to retransmit after the collision, while the other node refrains from retransmission. The receiver then uses the collided packets and the retransmitted packet to recover the two collided packets. The rechargeable energy sources are modeled as queues, along with the data queues at each nodes they form an interacting of queues. The stability region of this system is characterized through the use of the dominant system analysis approach. The stability region obtained is compared with that of TDMA system and a random access network without energy constrained, and the reduction in the stability region due to the finite energy is determined.

Finally, we analyzed the queues stability and delay of cooperative multiple access for cognitive radio systems in which the SU has a rechargeable finite energy source. The system in consideration has a randomized service policy whereby the SU probabilistically selects to

serve either the queue of its own data or the relay queue. Moreover, the relayed packet that fails to reach the destination is admitted to the relay queue with some probability upon being successfully decoded by the SU. The rechargeable energy source is modeled as a queue, along with the data queues at the SU they form an interacting system of queues. The stability region of this system is characterized through the use of the dominant system analysis approach, and the reduction in the stability region due to the energy finiteness as well as the effect of the system parameters on this region are characterized. Moreover, an upper bound on the average packet delay encountered by the packets of the PU and SU is characterized using the moment generating function. The stability region and delay obtained is compared with that of the system without energy constraint.

As a future work, three issues can be investigated:

- 1- In the cognitive radio system in which the SU exploits the feedback information of the primary receiver, we have studied the system for single user, single SU and single PU, for simplicity. We can generalize this system i.e. study the system in which we have more than one SU.
- 2- For the random access network, we have studied the system for two nodes that have data queues and batteries for energy harvesting. As a future work, we can generalize this system i.e. study the system in which we have more than two nodes.
- 3- In the delay analysis of cooperative multiple access for cognitive radio systems in which the SU has a rechargeable finite energy source, we can provide a proof of the delay behaviour in which the average delay curves for both systems (with and without energy limitations) are coincided.

Appendix A

Proof of stability conditions of the dominant system 1 in random access system without energy limitation

A.1 Proof of Lemma 3.1

In this Appendix, we provide a proof for Lemma 3.1. We start by calculating the steady state distribution for the Markov chain shown in Fig. 3.2.

First, it is clear that $\epsilon_0 = 0$ since the queue can never be in a retransmission state while being empty. Writing the balance equation around 1_R , we have

$$\epsilon_1 = \lambda_1 p_1 p_2 \pi_0 + (1 - \lambda_1) p_1 p_2 \pi_1. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Then around 0_F , we have

$$(\lambda_1 p_1 p_2 + \lambda_1 (1 - p_1)) \pi_0 = (1 - \lambda_1) \epsilon_1 + (1 - \lambda_1) p_1 (1 - p_2) \pi_1. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Substituting for ϵ_1 from (A.1) into (A.2), and after some manipulations, we can get

$$\pi_1 = \frac{\lambda_1 (1 - p_1 + \lambda_1 p_1 p_2)}{p_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - \lambda_1 p_2)} \pi_0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Substituting from (A.3) into (A.1), we get $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\lambda_1 p_2}{1 - \lambda_1 p_2} \pi_0$.

Writing the balance equation around 1_F , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda_1 p_1 (1 - p_2) - (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - p_1)) \pi_1 = \\ \lambda_1 \pi_0 + \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + (1 - \lambda_1) \epsilon_2 + (1 - \lambda_1) p_1 (1 - p_2) \pi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Around 2_R , we have $\epsilon_2 = \lambda_1 p_1 p_2 \pi_1 + (1 - \lambda_1) p_1 p_2 \pi_2$.

$$\epsilon_2 = \lambda_1 p_1 p_2 \pi_1 + (1 - \lambda_1) p_1 p_2 \pi_2. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

To get the relation between π_1 and π_2 , we can substitute for the values of ϵ_1 , π_0 and ϵ_2 from equations (A.1), (A.2) and (A.5), respectively in equation (A.4); after some tedious manipulation, we get

$$\pi_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 (1 - p_1 + \lambda_1 p_1 p_2)}{p_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - \lambda_1 p_2)} \pi_1. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Substituting from (A.6) into (A.5), we get $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 p_2}{1 - \lambda_1 p_2} \pi_1$. Note that the Markov chain is repeating from stage 2 till the end. For $k \geq 2$, we have the following relations.

$$\pi_k = \frac{\lambda_1 (1 - p_1 + \lambda_1 p_1 p_2)}{p_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - \lambda_1 p_2)} \pi_{k-1}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{\lambda_1 p_2}{1 - \lambda_1 p_2} \pi_{k-1}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The last relation can be used to prove the following relation between ϵ_k and ϵ_{k-1} .

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{\lambda_1 (1 - p_1 + \lambda_1 p_1 p_2)}{p_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - \lambda_1 p_2)} \epsilon_{k-1}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The steady state distribution can now be written as follows.

- $\epsilon_0 = 0$, $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\lambda_1 p_2}{1 - \lambda_1 p_2} \pi_0$, and $\epsilon_k = \rho^{k-1} \epsilon_1$, $k \geq 2$.
- $\pi_k = \rho^k \pi_0$, $k \geq 1$ and $\rho = \frac{\lambda_1 (1 - p_1 + \lambda_1 p_1 p_2)}{p_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - \lambda_1 p_2)}$.

This steady state distribution can be easily checked to satisfy the balance equation at any general state (details are omitted since it is a rather straightforward, yet very tedious, procedure).

To get the value of the steady state probabilities, we apply the following normalization requirement.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\pi_k + \epsilon_k) &= 1 \\ \rightarrow \pi_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\pi_k + \epsilon_k) &= \pi_0 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1 p_2}{1 - \lambda_1 p_2} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where $\rho = \frac{\lambda_1 (1 - p_1 + \lambda_1 p_1 p_2)}{p_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (1 - \lambda_1 p_2)}$ as defined above.

Note that for the steady state distribution to exist, i.e. to have π_0 to be non zero, then we must have $\rho < 1$, which is the stability condition for Q_1 in this dominant system. Therefore, the stability condition can be stated as

$$\rho < 1 \rightarrow \lambda_1 < \frac{p_1}{1 + p_1 p_2}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

From the normalization condition in (A.10), we can get the value of π_0 as $\pi_0 = \frac{p_1 - \lambda_1(1 + p_1 p_2)}{p_1(1 - \lambda_1)}$.

In Dominant System 1, Q_2 will be served in both states denoted by the subscript F and R in Fig. 3.2. Hence, the service rate, μ_2 , for Q_2 in Dominant System 1 is given by

$$\mu_2 = p_2(1 - \lambda_1 p_1)\pi_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_2(1 - p_1)\pi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where in the 0_F state, Q_2 is served if Q_2 decides to access the channel when Q_1 decides not to access the channel if it receives a packet at the start of the time slot or there is no arrival for the packet; for the other first transmission states, Q_2 will be served if it decides to access the medium, which occurs with probability p_2 , and Q_1 decides not to access the medium, which occurs with probability $(1 - p_1)$; for retransmission state, Q_2 will be served with probability one. After some manipulation, we can write the expression for μ_2 as

$$\mu_2 = \frac{p_2(1 - \lambda_1 p_2 + \lambda_1^2 p_2 - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \lambda_1)}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

For the stability of Q_2 , we must have

$$\lambda_2 < \mu_2 = \frac{p_2(1 - \lambda_1 p_2 + \lambda_1^2 p_2 - \lambda_1)}{(1 - \lambda_1)}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Bibliography

- [1] H. Haykin. Cognitive Radio: Brain-Empowered Wireless Communications. In *IEEE J. Select. Areas in Commun.*, volume 23.
- [2] G. Staple and K. Werbach. The end of spectrum scarcity [spectrum allocation and utilization]. *Spectrum, IEEE*, 41(3):48–52, March 2004. ISSN 0018-9235. doi: 10.1109/MSPEC.2004.1270548.
- [3] J. Mitola. The software radio architecture. *Communications Magazine, IEEE*, 33(5): 26–38, May 1995. ISSN 0163-6804. doi: 10.1109/35.393001.
- [4] J. Mitola. Cognitive radio: An integrated agent architecture for software defined radio. In *PhD thesis, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)*, 2000.
- [5] N. Devroye, P. Mitran, and Vahid Tarokh. Achievable rates in cognitive radio channels. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 52(5):1813–1827, May 2006. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT.2006.872971.
- [6] A. Jovicic and P. Viswanath. Cognitive radio: An information-theoretic perspective. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 55(9):3945–3958, Sept 2009. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT.2009.2025539.
- [7] Qing Zhao, Lang Tong, Ananthram Swami, and Yunxia Chen. Decentralized cognitive mac for opportunistic spectrum access in ad hoc networks: A pomdp framework. *Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on*, 25(3):589–600, 2007.
- [8] Yiping Xing, R. Chandramouli, S. Mangold, and N. Sai Shankar. Dynamic spectrum access in open spectrum wireless networks. *Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on*, 24(3):626–637, March 2006. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2005.862415.

- [9] Zhu Ji and K.J.R. Liu. Cognitive radios for dynamic spectrum access - dynamic spectrum sharing: A game theoretical overview. *Communications Magazine, IEEE*, 45(5): 88–94, May 2007. ISSN 0163-6804. doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2007.358854.
- [10] S. M. Kay. *Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Detection Theory*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1998.
- [11] H. V. Poor. *An Introduction to Signal Detection and Estimation*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd edition, 1994.
- [12] Kyouwoong Kim, I.A. Akbar, K.K. Bae, Jung sun Urn, C.M. Spooner, and J.H. Reed. Cyclostationary approaches to signal detection and classification in cognitive radio. In *IEEE International Symposium on New Frontiers in Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks (DySPAN)*, pages 212–215, 17-20 2007. doi: 10.1109/DYSPAN.2007.35.
- [13] A. Sahai, N. Hoven, and R. Tandra. Some fundamental limits on cognitive radio. In *Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton)*, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL, Oct., 2004.
- [14] Ahmed M. Arafa, Karim G. Seddik, Ahmed K. Sultan, Tamer ElBatt, and Amr A. El-Sherif. A soft sensing-based cognitive access scheme exploiting primary feedback. In *Modeling and Optimization in Mobile, Ad Hoc and Wireless Networks (WiOpt), 2012 10th International Symposium on*, pages 153 –160, may 2012.
- [15] K. Eswaran, M. Gastpar, and K. Ramchandran. Bits through arqs: Spectrum sharing with a primary packet system. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, Nice, France, June 2007.
- [16] Karim G. Seddik. On the stability of random multiple access with feedback exploitation and queue priority. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, Honolulu, HI, USA, June 2014.
- [17] M. Levorato, U. Mitra, and M. Zorzi. Cognitive interference management in retransmission-based wireless networks. In *Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton)*, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL, Sept. 30 – Oct. 2, 2009.

- [18] K.G. Seddik, A.K. Sultan, A.A. El-Sherif, and A.M. Arafa. A feedback-based access scheme for cognitive radio systems. In *IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*, San Francisco, CA, June 2011.
- [19] S. Huang, X. Liu, and Z. Ding. Distributed power control for cognitive user access based on primary link control feedback. In *IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications (INFOCOM)*, San Diego, CA, March 15–19, 2010.
- [20] R.A. Tannious and A. Nosratinia. Cognitive radio protocols based on exploiting hybrid arq retransmissions. *Wireless Communications, IEEE Transactions on*, 9(9):2833–2841, September 2010. ISSN 1536-1276. doi: 10.1109/TWC.2010.062910.091162.
- [21] N. Michelusi, P. Popovski, M. Levorato, O. Simeone, and M. Zorzi. Cognitive transmissions under a primary arq process via backward interference cancellation. In *Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton), 2011 49th Annual Allerton Conference on*, pages 727–735, Sept 2011. doi: 10.1109/Allerton.2011.6120240.
- [22] A. El-Sherif, A. Sultan, and K. Seddik. Soft sensing-based multiple access for cognitive radio networks. *IEEE GLOBECOM*, Dec. 2010.
- [23] S. Srinivasa and S.A. Jafar. Soft sensing and optimal power control for cognitive radio. In *Global Telecommunications Conference, 2007. GLOBECOM '07. IEEE*, pages 1380–1384, 26-30 2007. doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2007.265.
- [24] S. Haykin. Cognitive Radio: Brain-Empowered Wireless Communications. *IEEE J. Select. Areas in Commun.*, 23(2):201–220, Feb. 2005.
- [25] Ian F. Akyildiz, Won-Yeol Lee, Mehmet C. Vuran, and Shantidev Mohanty. Next generation/dynamic spectrum access/cognitive radio wireless networks: A survey. *Computer Networks*, 50(13):2127–2159, 2006.
- [26] A.J. Goldsmith and P.P. Varaiya. Capacity of fading channels with channel side information. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, 43(6):1986–1992, Nov. 1997. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/18.641562.

- [27] E. Biglieri, J. Proakis, and S. Shamai. Fading channels: informationtheoretic and communications aspects. *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*.
- [28] M. A. Khojastepour and B. Aazhang. The capacity of average and peak power constrained fading channels with channel side information. In *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC'04)*, page 7782, Atlanta, CA, USA, March 2004.
- [29] J. N. Laneman, D. N. C. Tse, and G. W. Wornell. Cooperative diversity in wireless networks: Efficient protocols and outage behavior. *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, 50(12): 3062–3080, December 2004.
- [30] J. N. Laneman and G. W. Wornell. Distributed space-time coded protocols for exploiting cooperative diversity in wireless networks. *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, 49(10): 2415–2425, Oct. 2003.
- [31] S. Barbarossa, L. Pescosolido, D. Ludovici, L. Barbetta, and G. Scutari. Cooperative wireless networks based on distributed space-time coding. in *Proc. IEEE International Workshop on Wireless Ad-hoc Networks (IWWAN)*, May 31-June 3 2004.
- [32] K. Azarian, H. El Gamal, and P. Schniter. On the achievable diversity-multiplexing tradeoff in half-duplex cooperative channels. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 51(12):4152–4172, Dec. 2005.
- [33] G. Kramer, M. Gatspar, and P. Gupta. Cooperative strategies and capacity theorems for relay networks. *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, 51(9):3037–3063, Sept. 2005.
- [34] J.N. Laneman, D.N.C. Tse, and Gregory W. Wornell. Cooperative diversity in wireless networks: Efficient protocols and outage behavior. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 50(12):3062–3080, Dec 2004. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT.2004.838089.
- [35] Mahmoud Ashour, Amr A El-Sherif, Tamer ElBatt, and Amr Mohamed. Cooperative access in cognitive radio networks: stable throughput and delay tradeoffs. In *Modeling and Optimization in Mobile, Ad Hoc, and Wireless Networks (WiOpt), 2014 12th International Symposium on*, pages 263–270, May 2014. doi: 10.1109/WIOPT.2014.6850308.

- [36] J.A. Paradiso and T. Starner. Energy scavenging for mobile and wireless electronics. *Pervasive Computing, IEEE*, 4(1):18–27, Jan 2005. ISSN 1536-1268. doi: 10.1109/MPRV.2005.9.
- [37] S. Meninger, J.O. Mur-Miranda, R. Amirtharajah, A.P. Chandrakasan, and Jeffrey H. Lang. Vibration-to-electric energy conversion. *Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 9(1):64–76, Feb 2001. ISSN 1063-8210. doi: 10.1109/92.920820.
- [38] O. Ozel and Sennur Ulukus. Information-theoretic analysis of an energy harvesting communication system. In *Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications Workshops (PIMRC Workshops), 2010 IEEE 21st International Symposium on*, pages 330–335, Sept 2010. doi: 10.1109/PIMRCW.2010.5670389.
- [39] G.S. Kasbekar, Y. Bejerano, and S. Sarkar. Lifetime and coverage guarantees through distributed coordinate-free sensor activation. *Networking, IEEE/ACM Transactions on*, 19(2):470–483, April 2011. ISSN 1063-6692. doi: 10.1109/TNET.2010.2077648.
- [40] M. Elsaadany, T. Khattab, M. Hasna, M. Abdallah, and M. Khairy. Priority-based scheduling for limited energy cognitive relaying. In *Telecommunications (ICT), 2010 IEEE 17th International Conference on*, pages 848–852, April 2010. doi: 10.1109/ICTEL.2010.5478826.
- [41] I.F. Akyildiz, W.Y. Lee, M.C. Vuran, and S. Mohanty. Next generation/dynamic spectrum access/cognitive radio wireless networks: a survey. *Computer Networks*, 50(13): 2127–2159, Sep. 2006.
- [42] A.K. Sadek, K.J.R. Liu, and Anthony Ephremides. Cognitive multiple access via cooperation: Protocol design and performance analysis. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 53(10):3677–3696, 2007. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT.2007.904784.
- [43] S. Kompella, Gam D. Nguyen, J.E. Wieselthier, and Anthony Ephremides. Stable throughput tradeoffs in cognitive shared channels with cooperative relaying. In *IN-FOCOM, 2011 Proceedings IEEE*, pages 1961–1969, 2011. doi: 10.1109/INFCOM.2011.5935000.

- [44] Jeongho Jeon and Anthony Ephremides. The stability region of random multiple access under stochastic energy harvesting. In *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2011 IEEE International Symposium on*, pages 1796–1800, 2011. doi: 10.1109/ISIT.2011.6033858.
- [45] A. Fanous and Anthony Ephremides. Effect of secondary nodes on the primary’s stable throughput in a cognitive wireless network. In *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2012 IEEE International Symposium on*, pages 1807–1811, 2012. doi: 10.1109/ISIT.2012.6283591.
- [46] A. Fanous and A. Ephremides. Stable throughput in a cognitive wireless network. *Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on*, 31(3):523–533, 2013. ISSN 0733-8716. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2013.130317.
- [47] B. Tsybakov. One stochastic process and its application to multiple access in supercritical region. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 47(4):1561–1569, May 2001. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/18.923737.
- [48] R. Rao and A. Ephremides. On the Stability of Interacting Queues in a Multi-Access System. *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, 34:918–930, Sept. 1988.
- [49] W. Szpankowski. Stability Conditions for Some Multiqueue Distributed System: Buffered Random Access Systems. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 26:498–515, 1994.
- [50] W. Luo and A. Ephremides. Stability of N Interacting Queues in Random-Access Systems. *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, 45(5):1579–1587, July 1999.
- [51] L. Kleinrock. *Queueing Systems. Volume 1: Theory*. Wiley-Interscience, 1975.
- [52] R. M. Loynes. The Stability of a Queue with Non-Independent Interarrival and Service Times. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, pages 497–520, 1962.
- [53] H. Kobayashi. Review of ‘queueing systems, vol. i: Theory and vol. ii: Computer applications’ (Kleinrock, I.; 1976). *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 23(5): 648–649, September 1977. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT.1977.1055780.